

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/714,510	11/14/2003	Thomas M. Sauter	KCOS121897	9834	
26389 759	7590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800			VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				THERNOMBER	
SEATTLE, WA	SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			3618	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/714,510	SAUTER, THOMAS M.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Frank Vanaman	3618		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Renly	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat i 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-26 is/are pending in the adaptive day of the above claim(s) 4-14,21 and 22 is/are Claim(s) 24-26 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 2, 15-17, 19, 23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3 and 20 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oa	e withdrawn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) be of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/714,510 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

Status of Application

1. Applicant's amendment, filed June 16, 2006, has been entered in the application. Claims 1-17 and 19-26 are pending, with claims 4-14, 21 and 22 being withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bumgarner (US 5,758,895, cited previously). Bumgarner teaches a binding having a base plate (2) configured to hold a boot, a toe strap (6, in general) configured to pass over a toe portion of a boot having an end (proximate 18) which is movable; an ankle strap (4 in general) having an end (proximate 20) which is movable; manually operated fasteners (4, 20, 22, 24), which form ends of one of the straps, and manipulation of which may cause motion of the end of the strap not having the fastener, and a movable linkage (8) connecting the movable ends of the toe and ankle straps such that when the fastener is manipulated (note sequence figures 4, 5, and 6), through the linkage, for example, both straps are tensioned.
- 4. Claims 1, 15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laughlin (US 5,692,765). Laughlin teaches a binding system with a cable assembly (54, 56, 58, 60) which are different cables connected to each other (at 82) and movable with respect to the base plate; the base plate configured to hold a snowboard boot (80); a first (toe) strap (16) connected at one end to a cable (56) and a second (ankle) strap (18) connected at one end to a cable (58), operable fasteners (24, 26) forming the ends of the straps, and which serve to hold the straps, causing tension in the straps when the cable portions are tightened by preventing motion of the respective end(s) of the straps to which the fasteners are connected; and wherein travel of the cable portion connected to the second, ankle strap (e.g., under withdrawal of the ankle strap) causes movements in the cable associated with the first, toe strap, wherein the cable include a mechanism which resists motion thereof at an end condition thereof (e.g., 72, 74, or 46, figure 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/714,510 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laughlin in view of Collombin et al. (US 4,060,256). The reference to Laughlin is discussed above and fails to teach the travel of the cable being limited by the use of a stop block on the cable. Collombin et al. teach that it is very old and well known to provide a cable (e.g., 38) with a stop block (40) engaging a relatively fixed element (e.g., 2, note figure 12) to limit travel of the cable. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide at least the toe cable of the binding taught by Laughlin with a travel-limiting stop element as taught by Collombin et al. for the purpose of preventing excess motion of the cable, and thus preventing the strap portion, for example, from becoming substantially disengaged form the base plate.
- 7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laughlin in view of Biermann et al. (US 4,142,735). The reference to Laughlin is discussed above and fails to teach the provision of a spring element interposed between a stop block on a cable and a stop feature on the base plate. Biermann et al. teach an old and well known biasing device for a cable (17) which is provided with a stop block (18) fastened thereto, wherein a spring (20) is positioned between the stop block and a stop feature (e.g. the wall portion proximate 43) on a plate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a spring biasing device as taught by Biermann et al. proximate an end of the cable of the binding arrangement taught by Laughlin (e.g., proximate either the ends of cables 54, 56, 58, 60 at fastener 28, or the ends at straps 16 and 18) between the ends and a base plate portion for the purpose of providing a pretension to the cables, thus setting a cable position associated with the non-latched condition of the fastener 28.
- 8. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laughlin (cited above). The reference to Laughlin is discussed above and fails to teach the fastener as being manually operable. It is well known in the mechanical arts to provide a hand manipulable element on a fastener for the purpose of allowing tool-free removal or

Application/Control Number: 10/714,510

Art Unit: 3618

attachment, and as such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the fastener taught by Laughlin with a hand-operable element for attachment and release for the purpose of allowing a user to connect and disconnect the fastener without needing specialized tooling.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 24-26 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 3 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Comments

11. Applicant's comments, filed with the amendment, have been carefully considered. Initially the examiner notes that a substantial number of applicant's amended claims are anticipated by the prior art. Many of the difficulties encountered in the prosecution of patent applications may be alleviated if each applicant includes, at the soonest possible time, claims varying from the broadest to which he or she believes he or she is entitled to the most detailed that he or she is willing to accept. Applicant has argued that Bumgarner fails to teach a manually operable fastener. The examiner disagrees, inasmuch as the fasteners 20, 22, 24 provided at the strap ends (4) are manually operable to the breadth claimed. As regards the reference to Laughlin, the examiner notes that the fasteners 24 and 26 constitute operable fasteners to the breadth claimed. As regards the limitation of the fasteners being manually operable, the examiner notes that while Laughlin fails to anticipate this limitation, the provision of a manually operable portion on a fastener is not deemed to be beyond the skill of the ordinary practitioner.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry specifically concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Vanaman whose telephone number is 571-272-6701.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application may be made through either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop ____ Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, Or faxed to:

PTO Central Fax: 571-273-8300

F. VANAMAN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3618